

CITY OF LOS ALAMITOS

3191 Katella Avenue
Los Alamitos, CA 90720

TRAFFIC COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

Wednesday, April 14, 2021 – 7:00 PM

SAFETY ALERT –NOTICE REGARDING COVID-19

Due to COVID-19, the City of Los Alamitos Traffic Commission Meeting on April 14, 2021 will be conducted by videoconference pursuant to the provisions of the Governor's Executive Orders N-25-20 and N-29-20. The public may access the meeting electronically or telephonically.

Pursuant to Executive Orders and given the current health concerns, members of the public can access meetings by using your phone to dial +1 (301) 715-8592 and enter the Meeting ID: 831-7237-3957. Your microphone will be disabled upon entry for the duration of the meeting. **Members of the public may not attend the meeting in person.**

The public can submit comments to trafficcommission@cityoflosalamitos.org with the subject line "PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM #" (insert the item number relevant to your comment) or "PUBLIC COMMENT NON-AGENDA ITEM." Comments **received by 4:00 p.m.** will be compiled and provided to the Traffic Commission and made available to the public before the start of the meeting. Staff will not read email comments at the meeting but the official record will include all email comments received until the close of the meeting.

Members of the public wishing to verbally deliver comments via the telephone conference can submit their requests to trafficcommission@cityoflosalamitos.org **until the close of the public comment period.** The Department Secretary will compile a list of speakers who have indicated a desire to speak. Before the close of the meeting, the Department Secretary will announce each speaker, enable the speaker's microphone, and begin the three-minute timer. The speaker's microphone will automatically be disabled at the end of three minutes.

All speakers shall observe civility, decorum and good behavior. Any item submitted to the Traffic Commission during the meeting shall become public record and subject to applicable disclosure laws.

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

This Agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. Except as provided by law, action or discussion shall not be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda. Supporting documents, including staff reports, are available for on the City's website at www.cityoflosalamitos.org once the agenda has been publicly posted.

Any written materials relating to an item on this agenda submitted after distribution of the agenda packet are available for public inspection on the City's website at www.cityoflosalamitos.org.

It is the intention of the City of Los Alamitos to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in all respects. If, as an attendee, or a participant at this meeting, you will need special assistance beyond what is normally provided, please contact the Development Services Department at (562) 431-3538, extension 301, 48 hours prior to the meeting so that reasonable arrangements may be made.

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

Chair Mejia
Vice Chair Randall Hill
Commissioner Dean Hill
Commissioner Murphy
Commissioner Patz
Commissioner Salai
Commissioner Singer

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

4. ORAL COMMUNICATION

The public can submit comments to trafficcommission@cityoflosalamitos.org with the subject line "PUBLIC COMMENT ITEM #" (insert the item number relevant to your comment) or "PUBLIC COMMENT NON-AGENDA ITEM." Comments **received by 4:00 p.m.** will be compiled, provided to the Traffic Commission, and made available to the public before the start of the meeting. Staff will not read email comments at the meeting but the official record will include all email comments received until the close of the meeting.

Members of the public wishing to verbally deliver comments via the telephone conference can submit their requests to trafficcommission@cityoflosalamitos.org **until the close of the public comment period.** The Department Secretary will compile a list of speakers who have indicated a desire to speak. Before the close of the meeting, the Department Secretary will announce each speaker, enable the speaker's microphone, and begin the three minute timer. The speaker's microphone will automatically be disabled at the end of three minutes.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Approve the Minutes for the Regular Meeting of March 10, 2021

ROLL CALL VOTE

Chair Mejia
Vice Chair Randall Hill
Commissioner Dean Hill
Commissioner Murphy

Commissioner Patz
Commissioner Salai
Commissioner Singer

6. STAFF REPORTS

A. Consideration of a Speed Hump Policy - Revised

This report provides the Traffic Commission with information to consider a policy on speed humps.

Recommendation:

1. Consider and discuss the development of a speed hump policy; and
2. Make a recommendation to City Council on the development and approval of a speed hump policy.

B. Traffic Commission Status Log

This is a tracking tool used by the Commission to track assignments and accomplishments.

Recommendation: Receive, file, and revise as necessary.

7. ITEMS FROM THE DIRECTOR/CITY ENGINEER

8. TRAFFIC COMMISSION INITIATED BUSINESS

At this time, Commissioners may report on items not included on the agenda, but no such matter may be discussed, nor may any action be taken in which there is interest to the community, except as to provide Staff direction to report back or to place the item on a future agenda.

9. ADJOURNMENT

I hereby certify, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing Agenda was posted at City Hall, 3191 Katella Ave., Los Alamitos, CA 90720 and on the City's website at www.cityoflosalamitos.org not less than 72 hours prior to the meeting. **Dated this 8th day of April, 2021**



Maria Veronica M. Enciso, Department Secretary

MINUTES OF TRAFFIC COMMISSION MEETING OF THE CITY OF LOS ALAMITOS

REGULAR MEETING – March 10, 2021

1. CALL TO ORDER

The Traffic Commission met in Regular Session at 7:02 p.m. on Wednesday, March 10, 2021 in a video conference, Chair Mejia presiding. As a result of the State of Emergency in California due to the threat of COVID-19 and pursuant to Governor Newsom Executive Order N-25-20 issued on March 12, 2020, all members of the Traffic Commission attended telephonically.

2. ROLL CALL

Present: Commissioners: Chair Mejia,
Vice Chair Randall Hill
Patz, Murphy, Dean Hill, Singer

Absent: Salai (Excused)

Present: Staff: Chris Kelley, City Engineer
Ron Noda, Acting Deputy City Manager
Brent Malatesta, Sergeant
Farhad Iranitalab, Traffic Engineer
Maria Veronica Enciso, Department Secretary

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Commissioner Dean Hill.

4. ORAL COMMUNICATION

Department Secretary Enciso confirmed no public comments was received by the 4:00 p.m. cut off.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Approve the Minutes for the Regular Meeting of February 10, 2021

Chair Patz mentioned he provided comments to Department Secretary Enciso.

Motion/Second: Patz/Murphy

Carried 6/0 (Salai excused): The Traffic Commission approved the minutes of the regular meeting of February 10, 2021 with amendments given to Department Secretary Enciso.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Chair Mejia	Aye
Vice Chair Randall Hill	Aye
Commissioner Patz	Aye
Commissioner Dean Hill	Aye
Commissioner Murphy	Aye
Commissioner Salai	(excused)
Commissioner Singer	Aye

6. STAFF REPORTS

A. Consideration of a Speed Hump Policy

This report provides the Traffic Commission with information to consider a policy on speed humps.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda summarized the staff report.

The Traffic Commission and staff discussed the following:

- Definitions of speed hump and bump as a common usage or legal definition.
- Increased street maintenance cost of having speed humps/bumps.
- Speed humps being used in the City's alleyways,

Commissioner Patz shared that if the speed hump policy is approved it would make the residents in Old Town West neighborhood really happy because it would reduce the cut through traffic they have been experiencing. Acting Deputy City Manager Noda also shared the disadvantages of speed humps.

Commissioner Singer asked if the Commission is considering a policy regarding speed humps or implementation of speed humps on a particular street. Acting Deputy City Manager Noda clarified that the Commission is considering a policy.

Vice Chair Randall Hill asked if [speed humps would not be added on] Walnut Street and Chestnut Street since there is a traffic volume of 3,600 (regarding the traffic volume that was presented in the drafted speed hump policy).

Commissioner Murphy asked if the City received 65 percent of residents that signed the petition on Walnut Street and Chestnut Street (request for speed humps). Acting Deputy City Manager Noda clarified that about 80 percent were signed, but the percentage would be per household.

Commissioner Dean Hill and staff discussed the following:

- Other forms of traffic calming devices aside from speed humps.
- The Old Town West neighborhood pilot program.
- Studies regarding speed humps causing accidents.

Commissioner Singer asked if any of the reports from other Cities included information how or if they found out the installation of the humps were successful or not. Acting Deputy City Manager Noda stated that the reports do not say they were successful but they do imply they are successful. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab and City Engineer Kelley added that Traffic Surveys would be conducted before and after the installation of a speed hump. Commissioner Patz also added that he has personally experienced that speed humps in a residential area were effective.

Commissioner Singer mentioned that when the City did studies there was a perception by the residents that drivers were speeding in the [Old Town West neighborhood].

Chair Mejia mentioned that he did not want to focus so much on Old Town West neighborhood, but that whether the City needs a speed hump policy.

Commissioner Patz agreed with Chair Mejia's statement.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda thanked Chair Mejia for the clarification and he further explained the speed hump policy.

Chair Mejia shared that he liked the guidelines presented, but asked and received clarification from Acting Deputy City Manager Noda about the street width being adjusted in the guidelines.

Chair Mejia asked and received clarification from Traffic Engineer Iranitalab about two parking spaces being lost per speed hump installed – one on each side of the hump.

Commissioner Patz asked if the City gained parking having angled parking on Oak Street as opposed to parallel parking. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab confirmed that the City did, but there is still a safety issue with angled parking due to visibility.

Commissioner Patz mentioned that some of the streets might be possible to install speed humps due to them being wide enough to go angled parking to alleviate the loss of parking. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab clarified that what is being discussed is the policymaking and not the specific issues so that there is a standard.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda shared that the angled parking has a time limit.

Vice Chair Randall Hill asked about the restriping and bike lanes on some of the streets so angled parking cannot be done (in reference to Old Town West project).

Commissioner Murphy mentioned the Commission is going off topic.

Traffic Engineer Iranitalab emphasized to take Old Town West project out of this discussion and to focus on the policy.

Commissioner Patz shared he liked what was on the Speed Hump Policy draft.

The Traffic Commission and Staff discussed the following:

- Percentage of residents that need to sign the petition and that it includes adjacent streets.

- Who receives the notification when a speed hump is being considered.

Commissioner Patz shared he liked that the policy states the Police and Fire Department need review and sign off.

Chair Mejia shared that he liked the look of the draft.

City Engineer Kelley mentioned that once the location of the speed hump is determined, the City would need to approach the resident where the speed hump would be placed.

Chair Mejia asked if typically there is a red curb at the speed hump. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab shared that they do not and that typically people are not supposed to park at a speed hump.

Vice Chair Randall Hill and Traffic Engineer Iranitalab quickly discussed the speed aspect and the traffic number of cars at Walnut Street and Chestnut Street.

Commissioner Patz discussed the other items that Vice Chair Randall Hill mentioned regarding the pass through traffic and people running stop signs. He also mentioned that the guidelines are reasonable.

Commissioner Singer asked how many streets are 25 mph in the City. Vice Chair Randall Hill and Acting Deputy City Manager Noda mentioned that all of the streets are.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda pointed out that in the draft there was no verbiage about minimum speed profile and asked the Commission if they would like one in the draft. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab shared that the City of La Canada Flintridge has a percentage of 67% or over to be considered a speeding issue.

The Traffic Commission and staff discussed adding verbiage about minimum speed profile and adding the removal of speed humps in the policy.

Vice Chair Randall Hill asked if there is a viability to having speed humps with all the restrictions mentioned in the policy. City Engineer Kelley clarified that the guidelines are developed with the same constraints in most residential neighborhoods.

City Engineer Kelley followed up of the average residential street width in the City is around 36 feet. Vice Chair Randall Hill asked about Florista Street and Los Alamitos Boulevard and it being wide for turn lanes. City Engineer Kelley shared that essentially the policy is a checklist to see if a street qualifies for a speed hump.

Traffic Engineer Iranitalab quickly shared different processes he has encountered in other Cities.

The Traffic Commission and staff discussed the following:

- The cost to install speed humps would be \$4,000-\$5,000 each and needs to be maintained every year.
- The speed hump would be made from asphalt and can last 5-6 years.

Commissioner Singer asked staff to get feedback from other cities that are similar to the size of City of Los Alamitos of their speed hump policy.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda asked for clarification from the Commission for revision:

- 65% of vehicles over the speed limit
- Verbiage of elimination of speed humps
- Specify the use of asphalt speed humps
- Report back to the commission of feedback from other cities and their experience of speed hump use

Commissioner Dean Hill asked about [the volume of] cars versus speeding cars on the street. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab clarified that there are different device for traffic volume and diverting traffic and that the City has a grid system. Chair Mejia clarified that it is stated in the policy that the City staff will conduct the appropriate study for volume and speed.

Commissioner Singer asked if what is being discussed is only residential streets. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab clarified that it is only residential.

Vice Chair Randall Hill asked if the Commission should add diverted traffic in the policy. Traffic Engineer Iranitalab clarified that it is already a part of the study unless the Commission wants to add it on separately.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda mentioned that speed humps does not cut down the amount of cut through traffic, it is supposed to decrease the speed and that the policy does state that staff can come up with other options aside from speed humps.

Motion/Second: Patz/Singer

Carried 6/0 (Salai excused): The Traffic Commission agreed for staff to include the changes discussed and to bring back the Speed Hump Policy at a future meeting.

ROLL CALL VOTE

Chair Mejia	Aye
Vice Chair Randall Hill	Aye
Commissioner Patz	Aye
Commissioner Dean Hill	Aye
Commissioner Murphy	Aye
Commissioner Salai	(excused)
Commissioner Singer	Aye

B. Traffic Commission Status Log

This is a tracking tool used by the Commission to track assignments and accomplishments.

Commissioner Murphy noted the following:

- Item A31 and A32 – asked for clarification
- Item C3 – update on project

Vice Chair Randall Hill noted the following:

- Item A24 and A25 – repeated item

7. ITEMS FROM THE DIRECTOR/CITY ENGINEER

City Engineer Kelley shared that the I-605 Katella Avenue Interchange was awarded for design plans

Traffic Engineer Iranitalab shared that the Katella Avenue Synchronization project plans are almost complete.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda discussed the following:

- Reached out to Wendy Grose and conveyed her gratitude for listening to the letter she sent to last month's Traffic Commission meeting.
- There was a Budget Standing Committee meeting prior to the Traffic Commission tonight and Measure Y items were discussed and will be presented to the City Council on Monday.

8. TRAFFIC COMMISSION INITIATED BUSINESS

Commissioner Murphy asked if the City would be doing anything regarding Wendy Grose's concern. Acting Deputy City Manager Noda shared that the City is working on it currently.

Commissioner Singer discussed the following:

- Missing paint at the installed crosswalk on Los Alamitos Boulevard and Orangewood Avenue.
- Unreadable sign on Los Alamitos Boulevard and Rossmoor Way.
- Asked if delivery trucks can stop anywhere and everywhere. Sergeant Malatesta shared that a citation is possible if they are obstructing traffic.

Acting Deputy City Manager Noda shared that staff will be working on a citywide signage replacement plan.

Chair Mejia shared that he noticed an uptick of catalytic convertors and petty crimes. Sergeant Malatesta quickly shared that there is an uptick countywide.

9. ADJOURNMENT

Motion/Second: Hill/Patz

Carried 6/0: The Traffic Commission unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting until April 14, 2021.

The Traffic Commission adjourned at 8:48 p.m.

Javier Mejia, Chair

Attest:

Ron Noda, Secretary

City of Los Alamitos

TRAFFIC COMMISSION AGENDA REPORT

MEETING DATE: April 14, 2021

ITEM NUMBER: 6A

To: Chair Javier Mejia & Members of the Traffic Commission

Presented By: Ron Noda, Acting Deputy City Manager

Subject: Consideration of a Speed Hump Policy - Revised

SUMMARY

This report provides the Traffic Commission with information to consider a policy on speed humps.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Consider and discuss the development of a speed hump policy; and
2. Make a recommendation to City Council on the development and approval of a speed hump policy.

Background

Discussions on whether there should be a City policy regarding speed humps have been held at several Commission meetings in the past. In August, 2019, The City received a petition signed by residents on Chestnut Street and Walnut Street in the Old Town West neighborhood requesting the installation of speed humps to reduce the impact of vehicle speeding and the safety of pedestrians. Staff proposed a pilot program involving street striping and installation of speed limit signs to calm the speed of vehicles in Old Town West. The Old Town West Traffic Calming Project was approved by City Council at the October 12, 2020 City Council meeting. City Council requested staff to present a speed hump policy to the Traffic Commission for recommendation. Staff brought forth a draft policy on speed humps at the March 10, 2021 Traffic Commission meeting. The Traffic Commission discussed and instructed staff to revise the policy.

Discussion

Speed humps have been used as a traffic calming device in some jurisdictions intending to reduce speed of vehicles traveling along that road.

Some of the advantages of speed humps are:

- Reduce traffic speeds –the 85th percentile speed on streets with speed humps decrease by 3-5 miles per hour.
- Discourage neighborhood cut-through traffic

Some disadvantages of speed humps are:

- Impact to emergency vehicles – Depending on the type of vehicle, emergency vehicles may experience delay in response time
- Increase traffic volume on adjacent streets – traffic on adjacent, non-speed hump streets may increase up to 30%
- Increase noise level
- Street maintenance costs increase due to additional labor and materials with the initial cost of installation between \$3,500-\$6,000 per speed hump
- Potential public liability for damaged vehicles and increased claims
- Loss of parking space

Staff presented a draft policy on speed humps at the March 10, 2021 Traffic Commission meeting. The Traffic Commission discussed the policy and recommended the following revisions:

- Include the guideline for installation of speed humps that 65% of vehicles over the posted speed limit.
- Verbiage/process of elimination of speed humps.
- Specify the use of asphalt for the speed humps

Staff has revised the draft policy on speed humps (attachment 1) for the Traffic Commission to consider.

Fiscal Impact

There is no fiscal impact. If the City Council supports the Commission recommendation, then it would be prudent to direct staff to provide a more detailed cost analysis of a speed hump program and a recommended funding source.

Attachments 1. Draft Revised Los Alamitos Speed Hump Policy

CITY OF LOS ALAMITOS
SPEED CONTROL HUMP PROGRAM

POLICY

It is the policy of the City of Los Alamitos to require the following guidelines for installing speed humps. The Traffic Commission and/or City Manager shall forward recommendation for speed humps but the Los Alamitos City Council is the body to provide direction for the installation or elimination of speed humps on public right-of-ways.

PROCESS

A petition, signed by the head of the household, of 65% or more of residences fronting the street and the adjacent street(s) that may be affected by the diverted traffic. Once the petition is received, City staff shall conduct appropriate studies of traffic volume and speed, area conditions, potential diversion of traffic to adjacent streets, and other factors deemed necessary. The Police and Fire Department shall review with respect to delivery of emergency services. The City shall notify residence on the subject street(s) a minimum of two weeks prior to consideration of the item at the Traffic Commission. If the Traffic Commission recommends the petition, City Council shall consider the staff report, receive citizen input, and make final determination with respect to inclusion of the street in the program. At any time before petition is reviewed by the Traffic Commission and/or City Council, staff may present other traffic calming options in lieu of speed humps.

GUIDELINES

1. The street width is 40 feet or less.
2. The street has a daily traffic volume of 3,000 or less vehicles.
3. 65% of vehicles travelled on the street must exceed the posted speed limit.
4. The street should be no more than one lane in each direction.
5. The street should not have a grade greater than 5%.
6. The street should not be a major thoroughfare for through traffic.
7. Streets eligible for installation of speed humps shall have a speed limit of 25 mile per hour (mph) or less.
8. The street cannot be a Regional Transit bus route.
9. The street cannot be a designated emergency response route.

INSTALLATION GUIDELINES

1. Speed hump dimensions – made from asphalt only, 3 inches to 4 inches in height, 12 feet in width, full height shall extend to 2 feet from gutter and taper to join existing pavement at edge of gutter.
2. Place speed humps 200 feet away from intersections, stop signs, or tight turns.
3. Place speed humps 25 feet from fire hydrants and manholes.
4. Space speed humps between 200 feet to 500 feet between humps.
5. Warning signs shall be placed approximately 100 feet ahead of the first speed hump.
6. Pavement markings shall be placed approximately 100 feet ahead of each speed hump.
7. Speed humps should not be placed on sharp horizontal curves due to motorcycle and bicycle stability issues.

REMOVAL GUIDELINES

1. Petition – signed by head of household of 65% of the homes on the street and the adjacent street(s) that may be affected by diverted traffic.
2. Deposit – Petition shall be accompanied by 25% funds to cover the cost of removal as determined by the City Engineer. Full payment is required within 45 days after the completion of the project.
3. Notification of residents on the street by the City upon receipt of the petition at least two (2) weeks prior to consideration of the item by the Traffic Commission.
4. Removal of humps are based on the street entirety – no singular speed humps and/or group of speed humps will be considered for removal.
5. City staff shall conduct appropriate studies of traffic volume and speed, accident frequency, diversion of traffic, and the overall effectiveness of the humps.
6. Traffic Commission shall consider the staff report, receive resident input and make a recommendation to City Council. City Council will make the final decision with respect to removal of the humps.
7. Reinstallation – If speed humps are removed at the request of residents and there is a subsequent request for reinstallation, it shall be processed per the guidelines set forth above. The cost of reinstallation (staff labor and hump installation) shall be borne by the requesting residents if said request is made within five (5) years after the humps have been removed. No City funds shall be used for reinstallation within the five (5) year period.

TRAFFIC COMMISSION STATUS LOG

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	DATE INITIATED	REQUESTED BY	COUNCIL OR STAFF	TAKEN TO	REMARKS
ELECTED OFFICIALS INITIATED ITEMS - COMPLETED						
A1	install 4-way stop signs at 4-way stop intersections	Jul-15	J. Wilhelm			Completed
A2	Farquhar/Los Alamitos Alley Study		Emerson/Mejia			Completed
A3	No left turn sign by the post office on Reagan St	Jun-15	D. Patz			Completed
A4	Katella/Cherry crosswalk on eastside of Katella	Jun-15	J. Mejia			Pending - Put on 7-yr CIP
A5	High School Traffic Study		D. Hunt		TC - April 2015	Completed. Submitted request to the district and was denied. No further action at this time.
A7	Repaint arrows at bus pad on Katella @ Walnut	Apr-15	J. Mejia			Completed
A8	3-way stop sign @ Cherry St & Catalina St	Jan-13	J. Wilhelm		TC - May 2015 CC - June 2015	Completed
A9	Consider options for widening Civic Center Dr	Jan-14	J. Mejia			No longer applies
A10	Upgrade forklift crossing on Catalina	Jan-16	J. Mejia	Completed		Completed
A11	remove parking spaces on s/s Catalina opposite - near the Los Alamitos Medical Center	Mar-16	J. Mejia	Possibly Council		Completed
A12	PT/P study to downgrade fully protected operation for Rossmoor Way/Los Alamitos TS and Bradbury/Los Alamitos TS S/B and N/B directions	Jun-16	D. Emerson	Staff		Completed
A13	1. Los Alamitos Blvd @ Farquhar - safety light out 2. Los Alamitos Blvd @ Katella - safety light cycling 3. Los Alamitos Blvd @ Florista, safety light out 4. Los Alamitos Blvd @ Cerritos - Illuminated street name dark 5. Cerritos Ave @ Los Al High, ISNS is out 6. Cerritos Ave @ Humbolt, ISNS is out 7. Cerritos Ave @ Bloomfield, safety light out	Feb-19	J. Mejia	Staff		Completed
A15	Los Alamitos Blvd @ Orangewood Safety Light Out	Mar-08	Hill	Staff		Completed
A16	Safety light out at the intersection of Humbolt Street and Cerritos Ave.	May-17	Chair Patz	Staff		Completed
A17	Bloomfield speed sign is not working	Apr-17		Staff		Completed
A18	Parking Revision 10732 Los Alamitos Boulevard in Front of McNally Back to 24 Min. Zone.	Feb. 7	Commission	Council		Completed
A19	Real Estate Sign limiting visibility at the intersection of Florista and Pine	Apr-17	Commission			Completed
A20	Florista Signal Timing	Jul-17	Commissioner Hill	Staff		completed.

TRAFFIC COMMISSION STATUS LOG

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	DATE INITIATED	REQUESTED BY	COUNCIL OR STAFF	TAKEN TO	REMARKS
A21	Katella Avenue at Lexington Safety light is dark	Sept. 17	Commission	Staff		Completed
A22	Traffic signal indication at S/W corner missing	Sept. 17	Chair Patz	Staff		Completed
A23	Move or upgrade mid-block crosswalk on Cherry s/o Florista	Mar-16	J. Mejia & D. Patz	Possibly Council		Completed
A24	five (5) traffic signs on the south side of Katella Avenue, between the 605 freeway and Wallingsford are starting to lean toward the street.	Feb-19	E. Singer	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A25	parkway trees are blocking the effectiveness of the street lights, on the east side of Los Alamitos Boulevard, between the car wash and Bradbury Road.	Feb-19	E. Singer	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A26	alley, south of Katella from Lexington heading west: overgrown vegetation at the rear of the private properties	Feb-19	E Singer	staff	Code Enforcement	Completed
A27	repair of two speeds bumps in the alley between Lexington Drive and Noel Street, and between Katella Avenue and Green Avenue.	Mar-19	J. Mejia	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A28	request to adjust the new median lights on Los Alamitos Boulevard, so that the lights are triggered to turn on and off by the sensor and not the timer.	Feb-19	D. Patz	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A29	Scramble Timing at the intersection of Cerritos and Los Alamitos Boulevard	Apr-17	R. Hill	Staff		No further action required at this time. Will be evaluated after School Traffic Study Review
A30	Add red curb for sight distance on Katella for n/bound Bloomfield	Mar-16	D. Emerson	Possibly Council		No further action required at this time
A31	Vehicles in N/bound left turn lanes on Los Alamitos at Katella back up into thru lane	Jan-16	D. Emerson	Council		Reviewing - Would likely require capital improvements
A32	Replace speed bumps in alley - poor condition	Jan-16	J. Mejia	Staff		Completed
A33	Bring the traffic study for Shea Properties Arrowhead to Commission	Mar-16	J. Mejia	Staff		Waiting for study to be submitted
A34	Address sight distance for driveways on S/S Katella - Reagan to Cherry	Mar-16	J. Wilhelm	Possibly Council		Under observation. No further action required at this time
A35	Extend the "merge left" sign on Los Alamitos Boulevard (NB) to be moved closer to Katella Avenue	Apr-19	Singer	Staff	Public Works	Completed

TRAFFIC COMMISSION STATUS LOG

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	DATE INITIATED	REQUESTED BY	COUNCIL OR STAFF	TAKEN TO	REMARKS
A36	Painted "merging" street marks on Los Alamitos Boulevard north of Katella Avenue, south of Florista Avenue.	Jun-19	Traffic Commissioner Mejia	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A37	"No Parking" sign replacement at the west end of San Joaquin Avenue	Jun-19	Traffic Commissioner Patz	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A38	San Bonito Avenue street sign on Bloomfield Street going north to south is blocked by a block wall	Oct-19	Traffic Commissioner Patz	Staff	Public Works	Completed
A39	Katella Deli removal of damaged wall	Feb-19	Traffic Commissioner Mejia	Staff	Code Enforcement	Completed
A40	Overgrown tree on private property covering a signal at the intersection of Bradbury Road and Los Alamitos Boulevard	Jun-19	Traffic Commissioner Rodman	Staff	Code Enforcement	Completed
A41	Street name signs at Los Alamitos Bl/Florista-paint/vinyl peeling off	Jan-16	J. Seaman	Staff		Completed
COMMUNITY INITIATED ITEMS - COMPLETED						
B1	Extend signal time for cars crossing Katella @ Walnut/Wallingsford	Apr-June-15	Lindsey			Completed
B2	Conduct Four-Way Stop Study at the intersection of Walnut and Catalina	Apr-17		Staff		Completed
B3	Traffic Signal @ Wallingsford and Katella	May-17	Resident	Staff		Completed
B4	requesting the installation of a u-turn sign and/or paint a red curb in the Woodcrest neighborhood - school traffic imposes safety concerns (Los Alamitos High School)	Oct-17	Residents: Carol Wall & Betty Binder	public		completed.
B5	installation of a right-turn only sign at the exit driveway off Farquhar, on the east side of Jack in the Box leaving Bank of America parking lot going onto Farquhar.	Nov-17	Resident: Art DeBolt	public		completed and removed.
B6	Traffic signal at Katella and Los Alamitos	Jul-17	P. Dykhouse	Staff		Completed: Traffic signal is operating as was intended
B7	Red curb request on north side of Sausalito Street east of the driveway into Sausalito Walk	Sep-18	Resident: Richard Murp	Staff	Public Works	Completed
B8	Installation of a crosswalk at the intersection of Green Avenue and Bloomfield Avenue	Jan-19	Resident:	Staff	Public Works	Completed

TRAFFIC COMMISSION STATUS LOG

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	DATE INITIATED	REQUESTED BY	COUNCIL OR STAFF	TAKEN TO	REMARKS
B9	Dedicated right turn lane on Cerritos Avenue in front of Los Alamitos High School	Oct-19	Traffic Commissioner Hill	Staff	Public Works	Completed
B10	Permit parking sign removal in New Dutch Haven neighborhood - as part of the permit parking review	Oct-19	Residents	Staff	Public Works	Completed
B11	Permit parking sign removal in Carrier Row neighborhood - as part of the permit parking review	Oct-19	Residents	Staff	Public Works	Completed
B12	Red curb request on south of Sausalito Street west of Los Alamitos Boulevard (next to Shenandoah's)	Sep-18	Resident: Richard Murphy	Staff		Completed
B13	Request for the installation of equipment for the visually impaired on Florista Street and Los Alamitos Boulevard	Fall 2018	Resident	Staff	City Council	Completed
RECOMMENDATION APPROVED & PENDING IMPLEMENTATION						
C1	Analysis of other intersections near all schools within City limits which might warrant the installation of a crosswalk	Jan-19	Traffic Commission	Staff		Approved - pending implementation
C2	Street sweeping signage around the LAMC (initiated by excess trash and debris on the street)	Jan-19	Resident	Staff		Approved - pending implementation
C3	Installation of speed humps at Old Town West (Project updated to Traffic Calming)	Sep-19	Resident	Staff		Approved - pending implementation
RECOMMENDATION DENIED						
D1	Installation of northbound speed limit sign on Bloomfield	Nov-19	Resident: Art DeBolt	public		denied
D2	change the alley (between Farquhar Ave & Howard Ave) to be one-way only	Nov-19	Resident: Art DeBolt	public		denied
D3	Remove "Keep Clear" on Farquhar at Cherry	Mar-16	D. Emerson	Staff	CC - March 2017	Denied
D4	Remove school signs for Laurel HS, which is closed	Nov-15	D. Patz	Staff		Denied per schools request
D5	Modify pedestrian signal/sign for NB right turns - East leg Bloomfield & Katella	Jan-14	J. Wilhelm	Staff		Denied
D6	Request to add permit parking on Maple Street in the Apartment Row neighborhood	Jan-20	Resident: Mark Giruad	Staff	Staff	Denied
D7	15 minute parking sign at 4012 Katella Ave, Suite 101	Mar-20	Business Owner: Hai Nguyen	Staff		Denied - Staff spoke to Business owner
ITEMS PENDING - TRAFFIC COMMISSION						

TRAFFIC COMMISSION STATUS LOG

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	DATE INITIATED	REQUESTED BY	COUNCIL OR STAFF	TAKEN TO	REMARKS
E1	Red Curb Request on 4021 Howard Avenue	May-17	Matthew Dastis	Staff		Item pending written request from Mr. Dastis. No written request yet.
ITEMS PENDING CONSIDERATION - CITY COUNCIL						